

|   |                               |                                 |  |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>10/658,617 | Applicant(s)<br>MITCHELL ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner<br>David Guzo        | Art Unit<br>1636                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Appealed

 (1) David Guzo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Konstantina M. Katcheves.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 November 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,3,13 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment which placed the application in condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)